

Rubric for Workshop Proposals: 2026 Oklahoma Conference on Volunteerism

Criteria	Score Range	Score Description
Relevance to Volunteers or Extension Professionals	1–10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 1–2: Not relevant to current needs. - 3–4: Somewhat relevant but outdated. - 5–6: General relevance; not new. - 7–8: Mostly relevant and current. - 9–10: Highly relevant and forward-thinking.
Fit with Program Tracks	1–5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 1: Does not fit any track. - 2: Needs major changes to fit. - 3: Somewhat fits; needs refinement. - 4: Mostly fits with minor edits. - 5: Clearly fits a track.
Originality and Innovation	1–5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 1: Not original or new. - 2: Lacks innovation; some potential. - 3: One or two new ideas; not standout. - 4: Creative and fresh ideas. - 5: Highly original and innovative.
Practical Application	1–5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 1: Vague or impractical takeaways. - 2: One unclear or limited takeaway. - 3: Two moderately useful takeaways. - 4: Three mostly practical takeaways. - 5: Three clear, actionable takeaways.
Clarity and Coherence	1–5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 1: Confusing or unclear. - 2: Lacks detail and clarity. - 3: Somewhat understandable. - 4: Mostly clear and informative. - 5: Very clear and easy to understand.
Audience Engagement	1–5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 1: No engagement planned. - 2: Engagement unclear or vague. - 3: One weakly defined strategy. - 4: One clear strategy. - 5: Multiple well-planned strategies.
Research-Based Content	1–5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 1: No citations. - 2: Weak or few sources. - 3: Some sources; limited quality. - 4: Mostly strong and current sources.

Rubric for Workshop Proposals: 2026 Oklahoma Conference on Volunteerism

		- 5: High-quality, up-to-date, peer-reviewed citations.
--	--	---